

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 "Www.uspto.gov"

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/647,270	12/21/2000	W. Edward Robinson Jr.	82351.0008	5369
7.	590 08/27/2002			
STEFAN J. KIRCHANSKI			EXAMINER	
CROSBY, HEAFEY, ROACH & MAY 1901 AVENUE OF THE STARS			TRAVERS, RUSSELL S	
SUITE 700 LOS ANGELES, CA 90067			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
20071110222	, ,		1617	
			DATE MAILED: 08/27/2002	10

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Office Action Summary

Application No. 09/647,270

Applicant(s)

Robinson et al

Examiner

Russell Travers

Art Unit

1617



The MAILING DATE of this communication appears	on the cover sheet with the correspondence address				
Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 (a). In the second sec	<del></del>				
mailing date of this communication.  If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the lift NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply.  Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause to Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>May 28,</u>	2002				
2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☒ This ac	tion is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance closed in accordance with the practice under Ex pa	except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is arte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims					
4) 💢 . Claim(s) <u>8-14</u>	is/are pending in the application.				
4a) Of the above, claim(s)	is/are withdrawn from consideration.				
5)  Claim(s)	is/are allowed.				
6) 🔀 Claim(s) <u>8-14</u>	is/are rejected.				
7) Claim(s)	is/are objected to.				
	are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are	e a) $\square$ accepted or b) $\square$ objected to by the Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the					
<u> </u>	is: a) $\square$ approved b) $\square$ disapproved by the Examiner.				
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply	to this Office action.				
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exam	iner.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120					
13) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).					
a) □ All b) □ Some* c) □ None of:					
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have	ve been received.				
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have	ve been received in Application No				
application from the International Bure					
*See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the	1				
14) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic					
a) U The translation of the foreign language provision:					
15) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic	s priority under 35 U.S.C. 33 120 and/or 121.				
Attachment(s) 1) 🔽 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s).				
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)				
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s).	6) Other:				

Application/Control Number: 09/647,270

Art Unit:

The amendment filed May 28, 2002 has been received and entered into the file.

Claims 8-14 are presented for examination.

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. § 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless --

(a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for a patent.

Claims 8, 9 10 and 12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102(a) as being anticipated by Robinson .

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. § 103 which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Subject matter developed by another person, which qualifies as prior art only under subsection (f) or (g) of section 102 of this title, shall not preclude patentability under this section where the subject matter and the claimed invention were, at the time the invention was made, owned by the same person or subject to an obligation of assignment to the same person.

Claims 8-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103 as being unpatentable over Robinson, Farnet et al, McDougall et al, Deeks and Starnes et al.

Application/Control Number: 09/647,270

Art Unit:

٤,

Robinson, Farnet et al, McDougall et al, Deeks and Starnes et al teach the claimed compounds, Integrase inhibitors, nelfinavin and ddC respectively, as old and well known in combination with various pharmaceutical carriers and excipients in a dosage form. These medicaments are taught as useful for treating viral diseases, especially HIV. Claims 8-14, and the primary references, differ as to:

1) the concomitant employment of these medicaments

It is generally considered <u>prima facie</u> obvious to combine two compounds each of which is taught by the prior art to be useful for the same purpose, in order to form a composition which is to be used for the very same purpose. The idea for combining them flows logically from their having been used individually in the prior art. As shown by the recited teachings, the instant claims define nothing more than the concomitant use of two conventional anti-inflammatory agents. It would follow that the recited claims define <u>prima facie</u> obvious subject matter. Cf. <u>In re Kerhoven</u>, 626 F.2d 848, 205 USPQ 1069 (CCPA 1980).

Applicants constructively aver unexpected benefits residing in the claimed subject matter, yet fail to fails to set forth evidence substantiating this belief. Evidence as to unexpected benefits must be "clear and convincing" *In re Lohr*, 137 USPQ 548 (CCPA 1963), and be of a scope reasonably commensurate with the scope of the subject matter claimed, *In re Linder*, 173 USPQ 356 (CCPA 1972). Absent claims commensurate with the showing of unexpected benefits, or a showing reasonably

Application/Control Number: 09/647,270

Art Unit:

۱. <u>۵.</u>

commensurate with the instant claims, such claims remain properly rejected under 35

USC 103.

It is well known by the skilled artisan that carriers and excipients are employed

to enhance the activity of active ingredients. Thus, the skilled artisan would expect

conventional excipients and carriers to be useful concomitantly, absent information to

the contrary. The instant carriers and excipients are not employed concomitantly in the

prior art, thus only obviate their concomitant use.

Applicant's attention is drawn to In re Graf, 145 USPQ 197 (CCPA 1965) and In

re Finsterwalder, 168 USPQ 530 (CCPA 1971) where the court ruled that when a

substance is unpatentable under 35 USC 103, it is immaterial that applicant may have

disclosed an obvious or unobvious further purpose or advantage for the substance.

Examiner would favorably consider claims directed to those medicaments

providing unexpected therapeutic benefits, as averred herein.

No claims are allowed.

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Russell

Travers at telephone number (703) 308-4603.

Russell Travers
Primary Examiner

Page 4

Art Unit 1614